

Reply to Cen LiXiang, Li XinQi, and Yan YiJing

On the nonadiabatic conditional geometrical phase with NMR

Wang Xiang-bin*

Much long before the appearing time of the Comment [1], the main issue addressed there had been resolved already. Our correction to Eq.(11) in the Letter [2] first appeared in quant-ph/0101038(v4 and onwards), then appeared again in the extended version, quant-ph/0108111, and were finalized in ref [3](Erratum: PRL, 88, 179901(2002)). Surprisingly, in the Comment, Cen *et al* have neither mentioned the fact that actually they are not the first discoverer of the issue nor mentioned the fact that Eq.(11) in the Letter [2] has been replced by a new one in the Erratum [3], though they had been surely aware of these facts long time ago. It's W. A. Munro, T. P. Spiller, and A. Nazir who first spotted the issue more than one year ago. Munro *et al* are acknowledged in our Erratum [3].

Although we are not in a position to answer Cen, Li and Yan's question to other persons' work [4] in their Comment, we believe that the dynamical phase in Zhu and Wang's work [4] can be removed either in a way similar to that in ref [3] or in a different way. Taking this point into consideration, we believe the main idea in Zhu and Wang's paper works.

In summary, as Cen, Li, and Yan had clearly known it long before, they are definitely not the first discoverer on the problem of Eq.(11) in our Letter. The problem of Eq(11) in our Letter had been pointed out and corrected already long before their re-discovery. The information offered by Cen, Li, and Yan in the Comment [1] are selective and misleading.

*email: wang@qci.jst.go.jp

REFERENCES

- [1] Lixiang Cen, XinQi Li and YiJing Yan quant-ph/0208199.
- [2] X. B. Wang and K. Matsumoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 097901(2001).
- [3] X. B. Wang And K. Matsumoto, (E)Phys. Rev. Lett.,88, 179901(2002)
- [4] S.L. Zhu and Z.D. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 097902(2002).